elvex

You're Measuring AI Adoption Wrong

Updated November 2026

elvex.ai

The Five Levels of AI Fluency and Why $30Bn in Enterprise Spend Has Zero P&L Impact

Table of Contents:

Part 1: The Problem

- Mistake #1: Confusing activity with value
- Mistake #2: Producing the same output in less time
- Mistake #3: Measuring the wrong thing entirely

Part 2: The Five Stages of AI Capability

- Stage 1: The Casual User
- Stage 2: The Deliberate Prompter
- Stage 3: The GPT Builder
- Stage 4: The Workflow Architect
- Stage 5: The Force Multiplier

Part 3: What Actually Works

- Turn Your Workforce AI-Native

Part I: The Problem

Mistake #1: Confusing activity with value

The most common enterprise AI use case today is drafting short-form text: emails, Slack messages, meeting summaries. The output is the same email that would have been written anyway. It just took four minutes instead of eight.

AI's real potential is work that wasn't previously possible. Synthesizing data from six systems to prep for a sales call, orchestrating multi-step research in minutes, turning a strategic question into an executed analysis without waiting on three departments. Most employees never get there because nobody showed them what else was possible.

Source: McKinsey, AI in the Workplace, 2025

Mistake #2: Producing the same output in less time

"Our employees are saving X hours per week" is a seductive metric. But saving time only matters if it converts into higher-value work. In most organizations, it doesn't.

A Harvard Business Review study found that while gen AI makes employees more productive on individual tasks, it actually reduces intrinsic motivation and increases boredom on non-AI-assisted work. A METR randomized controlled trial went further: experienced developers using AI tools took 19% longer to complete tasks, while self-reporting that they felt 20% faster.

Source: METR, Measuring the Impact of Early-2025 AI on Experienced Open-Source Developer Productivity

Time savings without capability expansion is a treadmill. The organizations seeing genuine transformation expand what each person can do, instead of limited themselves to just doing the same work faster.

Mistake #3: Measuring the wrong thing entirely

Almost every organization measures AI adoption by weekly active users. Anthropic's AI Fluency Index, published in February 2026, exposed why this is meaningless.

Across nearly 10,000 conversations, the number-one behavioral indicator of AI fluency (85.7%) was iteration: going back and forth with the model, refining outputs, building on previous results. These iterative conversations showed double the rate of every other fluency behavior.

The other top indicators? Clarifying your goal (51.1%), providing examples (41.1%), and setting the interaction mode (30%). Not a single technical skill on the list. Every one of these is something you'd do when working with a smart colleague.

WAU tells you who opened the tool: attendance, nothing more. The companies tracking it are counting how many people walked into the gym with no idea who's actually working out. What should be tracked is what people are actually doing with the tool, their depth of interaction, and what they're trying to achieve.

High-fluency AI behavior looks like this. Iteration, clarification, providing examples of what good looks like, specifying formats and structures—these are all examples of wanting more from AI, knowing what good looks like, and doing the work to get it.

Source: Anthropic, Anthropic Education Report: The AI Fluency Index

Part II: The Five Stages of AI Capability

Stage 1: The Casual User

Opens an AI tool sporadically, types a vague request, gets a mediocre result, and concludes AI is "okay but not that useful." The majority of enterprise employees live here today. They're active users

by any dashboard's definition. They're generating almost no value. It's helped them write a few emails.

Stage 2: The Deliberate Prompter

Has learned that specificity matters. Provides context, defines tone, sets constraints. Outputs are noticeably better, but the workflow is still manual and one-off. Every task starts from scratch. This person is getting real efficiency gains on individual tasks, but hasn't changed the shape of their work.

Stage 3: The GPT Builder

Creates custom agents or GPTs trained on specific playbooks, data, and processes. AI starts to feel like a real tool rather than a novelty at this stage. But it's still personal productivity, and few of the workflows integrate with other systems. The value stays with the individual. And importantly, this is the ceiling for most current AI tools. They don't guide anyone past this point.

Stage 4: The Workflow Architect

Builds automated workflows that span systems: CRM, communication tools, email, data platforms. AI stops being a writing assistant and starts being operational infrastructure here. Account research happens before anyone requests it. Meeting prep triggers automatically. Objection handling pulls from actual deal data, not generic templates. Individual capability doubles at this stage.

Stage 5: The Force Multiplier

Takes what they've built and scales it across the team. A single workflow becomes a shared capability. Adoption becomes organic because the value is visible and accessible. Organizational capability doubles at this stage.

Stages 1 through 3 improve personal efficiency. Stages 4 and 5 change what the organization is capable of.

Most organizations have 80% of their workforce at Stage 1, a handful of enthusiasts at Stages 2 and 3, and almost nobody at Stages 4 and 5. Training programs don't solve this—handing someone a cookbook rarely changes their diet.

What changes behavior is environment: the platform someone works in every day, the defaults it sets, the paths it makes easy, the examples it surfaces. That's what moves people up from one stage to the next.

Part III: What Actually Works

Instead of more training, consulting hours, developer time, and "business reviews," what really breaks the plateau is a platform that does these four things:

1. Solves the blank page problem

Imagination blocks AI adoption more than resistance does. Most employees stare at a chat interface and don't know what to ask. They face the same blank page problem a writer faces, except worse, because they don't even know the medium's possibilities.

The platform has to solve this with persistent, contextual guidance woven into the daily work environment. When an employee is working on account research, the platform should surface the agent a colleague already built for that exact task. When someone is prepping for a meeting, the platform should show them that the prep can be automated entirely. The job of an intelligent AI platform is to pull every employee toward the art of the possible, surfacing relevant capabilities at the moment they'd be most useful, so that "I didn't know I could do that" becomes "I can't believe I used to do it the old way."

elvex calls this the Guide layer. It builds transparent, editable context from how you and your company work, and proactively recommends improvements, agents, workflows, and automations. No prompt engineering required.

2. Lets anyone build, not just the technical 10%

The divide between Stage 3 and Stage 4 has historically been a technical one. Building automated workflows across CRM, Slack, email, and data platforms required coding skills or comfort with low-code tools that most employees don't have.

elvex removes this barrier. The Home Assistant acts as an intelligent orchestration layer: give it a goal in plain English, and it plans the steps, delegates to specialized agents, connects to your integrations (Salesforce, Notion, Google Workspace, and more), and executes with precision. It writes and runs code in a secure sandbox when calculations or data transformations are needed. The user needs to know what they want—they don't need to know Python.

The shift goes from advice to execution:

- **Before:** "How do I find duplicates in this spreadsheet?" and AI provides a tutorial.
- **Now:** "Find duplicates in this spreadsheet," and AI executes the code and returns the cleaned dataset.

The employee gained a new capability without having to learn a new skill.

3. Turns individual wins into team-wide patterns

The difference between Stages 1 through 3 and true proficiency (Stages 4 and 5) is sharing. One person building a great workflow is a productivity win. That workflow spreading across a team is a transformation.

elvex is built for this from the ground up. Agents, workflows, conversations, and data sources can be shared with one click. Collaborative workspaces let teams build together. When a top performer creates an agent that auto-preps meetings or enriches accounts, it becomes a resource the platform brings to the rest of the team, for them to use, learn from, and improve.

Adoption becomes organic rather than mandated this way. People adopt AI because they see a colleague getting better results with just a few clicks—not because they were told to.

4. Gives leadership real visibility, not vanity metrics

If WAU is attendance, what should leadership actually measure? Behavioral indicators: iteration depth, workflow creation rate, agent sharing frequency, integration usage, capability expansion over time.

elvex's control layer provides this. Management sees what's being used, what's driving value, and where to invest next. They can enable or restrict models, integrations, and capabilities with confidence. And because elvex prices on usage rather than seats, the financial model aligns with actual value delivered, not headcount enrolled.

The difference: knowing how many people just showed up for class, versus knowing how many are going to ace the test.

The Capability Question

The enterprise AI adoption problem is not the intelligence of the models. The models are good enough.

The problem is behavioral. Most employees interact with AI like a search engine because nothing in their environment has shown them a different way. They're stuck at Stage 1, and no amount of training, prompt libraries, or internal champions will move them to Stage 4.

What moves them is a platform that closes the imagination gap, lets them build without technical skills, spreads what works across teams, and measures what actually matters.

That's what elvex does: the platform that turns your entire workforce into AI-native employees.

The real question: are your people using AI, or is AI changing what they're capable of?